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## Foreword

A shared vision for walking, wheeling and cycling

Our mission at Sustrans is simple, we want to make it easier for everyone to walk, wheel and cycle.

But we cannot do it alone. We need politicians to see how active travel can benefit places and commit to change. We need teams of transport professionals to engage communities, and design and build solutions. And most importantly we need everyone to share their challenges and solutions and be open to change.

None of this is possible without data. Data tells us what is working and what isn’t, what people, especially marginalised groups think, and the vital impact walking, wheeling and cycling is making.

This is where the Walking and Cycling Index fits in. It is the clearest picture of walking, wheeling and cycling across the country, representative of 18 urban areas and regions.

The Walking and Cycling Index is now ten years old. Over the past decade I feel privileged to have witnessed across all our partner cities an increased level of ambition, a commitment to do things well, and the delivery of schemes and programmes that have given many more people the choice to walk, wheel or cycle.

However, our work is not done. Only 38% of all residents think that cycling in their local area is safe. And only 61% of disabled people feel welcome and comfortable walking, wheeling or spending time on the streets where they live.

This directly impacts our travel choices. For example, our landmark 2017 report on the gender gap in cycling showed twice as many men as women cycle regularly. Sadly this year’s data shows no progress since – 21% of men cycle at least once a week in comparison to only 10% of women.

This is not about forcing people to do something they don’t want to do. This is not about restricting choice. New data in this edition of the Index shows for the first time that very few people want to drive more (15%), but over a third feel locked into having to drive due to a lack of alternative options. At the same time, 50% of people want to walk or wheel more, and 43% want to cycle more.

The UK is spending record sums on transport, but we don’t always allocate this in the best way. We need to do more to listen to the views of the public and their message is clear – give us choice by prioritising walking, cycling and public transport to deliver a truly integrated transport system.

Let’s commit to walking, wheeling and cycling and give more people the choice to travel in the way that they want to, for the benefit of everyone.

Xavier Brice, Chief Executive, Sustrans
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## The Walking and Cycling Index

The Walking and Cycling Index (formerly Bike Life) is the biggest assessment of walking, wheeling and cycling in urban areas in the UK and Ireland.

It is delivered by Sustrans in collaboration with 23 cities and urban areas. Each city[[1]](#footnote-2) reports on the progress made towards making walking, wheeling and cycling more attractive, everyday ways to travel.

The Walking and Cycling Index reports every two years.

The data in this report comes from 2023 and includes local walking, wheeling and cycling data, modelling and an independent survey of 21,374 residents aged 16 or above in the eighteen UK Index cities. The surveys were conducted from March to June 2023. Social research organisation NatCen conducted the surveys, which are representative of all residents, not just those who walk, wheel or cycle.

Our thanks to the people of the UK Index cities who took part in the survey and shared their stories with us.

More details on all reports can be found at [www.sustrans.org.uk/walking-cycling-index](https://www.sustrans.org.uk/walking-cycling-index). For more data, see the [Index Data Tool](https://www.sustrans.org.uk/index-data-tool).

Map of the UK showing the cities participating in the Walking and Cycling Index: Aberdeen, Belfast, Birmingham, Bristol, Cardiff, Dundee, Dunfermline, Edinburgh, Glasgow, Greater Cambridge, Greater Manchester, Inverness, Liverpool City Region, Perth, Southampton City Region, Stirling, Tower Hamlets and Tyneside. Also shown in a lighter colour are the five Irish cities which participated in the Walking and Cycling Index but are not included in this UK Aggregated report: Cork Metropolitan Area, Dublin Metropolitan Area, Galway Metropolitan Area, Limerick Metropolitan Area and Waterford Metropolitan Area.

### Defining wheeling

We recognise that some people who use wheeled mobility aids, for example a wheelchair or a mobility scooter, may not identify with the term walking and may prefer to use the term wheeling. We use the terms walking and wheeling together to ensure we are as inclusive as possible.

## Headlines

UK aggregated

### Population[[2]](#footnote-3)

**10,259**,**083**

### Total number of residents surveyed

**21,374**

### Walking is the most common mode of travel

More people walk or wheel five or more days a week than any other mode of travel.

Walking and wheeling is vital for short journeys but also key to many longer trips to get to and from public transport.

#### Residents who travel by the following modes five or more days a week in Index cities

Walking or wheeling: **49%**

Driving[[3]](#footnote-4): **40%**

Public transport: **14%**

Cycling: **5%**

**49%** of residents walk or wheel at least five days a week

**15%** of residents cycle at least once a week

### Walking, wheeling and cycling participation is not equal

Some people may face greater barriers to walking, wheeling and cycling. For example there has been a gender gap in cycling for many years across the UK.

#### Proportion of residents who walk or wheel at least five days a week[[4]](#footnote-5)

**44%** of socio-economic group DE

**52%** of socio-economic group AB

#### Proportion of residents who cycle at least once a week

**10%** of women

**21%** of men

**21%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’[[5]](#footnote-6)

### Not all residents feel safe and welcome in their neighbourhood

#### Proportion of residents who think walking or wheeling safety is good

**53%** of socio-economic group DE

**64%** of socio-economic group AB

#### Proportion of residents who think cycling safety is good

**36%** of women

**40%** of men

**29%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’

#### Proportion of residents who feel welcome and comfortable walking, wheeling or spending time on the streets of their neighbourhood

**61%** of disabled people

**72%** of non-disabled people

### Everyone benefits when more people walk, wheel and cycle

Every day, walking, wheeling and cycling in Index cities take up to **2,300**,**000** cars off the road.[[6]](#footnote-7) Each year in Index cities these three modes combined:

Prevent **21,426** serious long‑term health conditions

Create **£6.1 billion** in economic benefit for individuals and Index cities

Save **420,000 tonnes** of greenhouse gas emissions

### Residents want to walk, wheel and cycle more and drive less

**24%** of residents want to drive less, yet **36%** of residents often use a car because no other transport options are available.

#### Percentage of residents who would like to use different types of transport more or less in the future:

##### Walk or wheel

**50%** more

**4%** less

##### Cycle

**43%** more

**3%** less

##### Take public transport

**32%** more

**11%** less

##### Drive

**15%** more

**24%** less

### Residents want more funding for walking, wheeling, cycling and public transport

#### Percentage of residents who would like to see more government spending in their local area:

**57%** on walking and wheeling

**47%** on cycling

**74%** on public transport

**33%** on driving

### Increased funding would help support more liveable neighbourhoods

Among Index city residents:

**58%** support, while **22%** oppose, more cycle paths along roads, physically separated from traffic and pedestrians

**60%** agree, while **18%** disagree, that increasing space for people socialising, walking, wheeling and cycling on their local high street would improve their local area

**74%** support, while **9%** oppose, the creation of more 20-minute neighbourhoods[[7]](#footnote-8)

**65%** support, while **16%** oppose, banning vehicles parking on the pavement

**62%** support, while **16%** oppose, the creation of more low‑traffic neighbourhoods[[8]](#footnote-9)

**56%** support, while **17%** oppose, shifting investment from road building schemes to fund walking, wheeling, cycling and public transport

## Walking

Walking and wheeling participation, safety and satisfaction

### Walking and wheeling participation

Walking and wheeling are often overlooked in transport. This is despite being an efficient use of space, good for our health and having no environmental impact.

A brisk 10-minute walk every day provides many health benefits.[[9]](#footnote-10) More needs to be done to enable people in underrepresented groups to gain from the benefits of walking and wheeling every day.

**94%** of all residents walk or wheel

**49%** of residents walk or wheel at least five days a week

#### Proportion of residents who walk or wheel at least five days a week

##### Gender and sexuality

**48%** of women

**50%** of men

**55%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’[[10]](#footnote-11)

**58%** of LGBTQ+ people

**48%** of non-LGBTQ+ people

##### Ethnicity

**43%** of people from ethnic minority groups

**50%** of white people

##### Age

**56%** of people aged 16–25

**52%** of people aged 26–35

**49%** of people aged 36–45

**46%** of people aged 46–55

**48%** of people aged 56–65

**44%** of people aged 66+

##### Disability

**44%** of disabled people

**51%** of non-disabled people

##### Socio-economic group[[11]](#footnote-12)

**52%** of AB

**51%** of C1

**41%** of C2

**44%** of DE

### Walking and wheeling safety and satisfaction

**61%** of residents think the level of safety for walking or wheeling is good

**46%** of residents think the level of safety for children walking or wheeling is good

**69%** of residents think their local area overall is a good place to walk or wheel

#### Proportion of residents who think walking or wheeling safety in their local area is good

##### Gender and sexuality

**61%** of women

**61%** of men

**52%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’

**63%** of LGBTQ+ people

**62%** of non-LGBTQ+ people

##### Ethnicity

**63%** of people from ethnic minority groups

**61%** of white people

##### Age

**63%** of people aged 16–25

**63%** of people aged 26–35

**59%** of people aged 36–45

**60%** of people aged 46–55

**63%** of people aged 56–65

**58%** of people aged 66+

##### Disability

**53%** of disabled people

**64%** of non-disabled people

##### Socio-economic group

**64%** of AB

**62%** of C1

**61%** of C2

**53%** of DE

### Quote from Dennis, Greater Manchester

Most of the pavements near where I live are old and uneven. If you add in parked cars too it’s like doing an assault course.

When the children were small I got forced onto the road while they were on the path as there was no space for the wheelchair. I couldn’t see them behind the parked cars. It was very upsetting.

Unsafe pavements isolate people in their homes. When I was a manual wheelchair user I couldn’t go anywhere on the pavement.

We need to design our neighbourhoods to suit people rather than cars. We should get rid of cars on pavements.

Making streets accessible for everyone helps with health and wellbeing and it builds community. If you’re not in a vehicle you get to know people. It creates nicer neighbourhoods.

## Cycling

Cycling participation, safety and satisfaction

### Cycling participation

Despite a much larger potential for cycling, only **15%** of people cycle regularly.[[12]](#footnote-13)

Cycling participation, however, is not equal. Barriers to cycling can be far more pronounced for some people. Safety, including road safety and personal safety, is the single largest barrier to cycling.[[13]](#footnote-14)

**36%** of all residents cycle

**15%** of all residents cycle at least once a week

#### Proportion of residents who cycle at least once a week

##### Gender and sexuality

**10%** of women

**21%** of men

**21%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’

**20%** of LGBTQ+ people

**15%** of non-LGBTQ+ people

##### Ethnicity

**13%** of people from ethnic minority groups

**16%** of white people

##### Age

**16%** of people aged 16–25

**18%** of people aged 26–35

**18%** of people aged 36–45

**18%** of people aged 46–55

**15%** of people aged 56–65

**7%** of people aged 66+

##### Disability

**10%** of disabled people

**17%** of non-disabled people

##### Socio-economic group

**19%** of AB

**14%** of C1

**14%** of C2

**11%** of DE

### Cycling safety and satisfaction

**38%** of all residents think the level of safety for cycling in their local area is good

**31%** of all residents think the level of safety for children cycling is good

**44%** of all residents think their local area overall is a good place to cycle

#### Proportion of residents who think cycling safety in their local area is good

##### Gender and sexuality

**36%** of women

**40%** of men

**29%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’

**39%** of LGBTQ+ people

**38%** of non-LGBTQ+ people

##### Ethnicity

**49%** of people from ethnic minority groups

**35%** of white people

##### Age

**45%** of people aged 16–25

**40%** of people aged 26–35

**39%** of people aged 36–45

**36%** of people aged 46–55

**36%** of people aged 56–65

**31%** of people aged 66+

##### Disability

**32%** of disabled people

**40%** of non-disabled people

##### Socio-economic group

**37%** of AB

**38%** of C1

**40%** of C2

**37%** of DE

### Quote from Joanne, Belfast

I’ve had a trike for over two years, and recently upgraded to an e-trike. My walking can be bad because of cerebral palsy, but when I’m on my trike, it’s like my cerebral palsy just disappears.

I had been on anti-depressants for 9 years, but within two months of getting my trike, I was off the medication. It’s lifechanging.

I’ve named my new e-trike ‘Joy’, because that is what it gives me.

It can be tricky because there aren’t many good cycle lanes. The lanes that are there are usually too narrow for my trike, so I either go on the footpath or the road.

I would love to help more people with disabilities get involved in trying out trikes and e-trikes.

## Benefits of walking

Why everyone gains when more people walk or wheel

The large numbers of walking and wheeling trips in Index cities produce important health, economic and environmental benefits.

### Index city residents walk or wheel 417 times around the world every day

**3.7 billion** walking and wheeling trips were made in Index cities in the past year, which adds up to

**3.8 billion miles** = **10.4 million miles** a day.

This equates to each resident spending **5** days walking or wheeling continuously in the past year.

#### Annual walking and wheeling trips by purpose[[14]](#footnote-15)

Destination – adults only (like work, school, shopping): **1,974**,**600,000** or **53%**

School – children only: **268,700**,**000** or **7%**

Enjoyment or fitness – adults and children (including running): **1,462**,**300,000** or **39%**

### Walking and wheeling benefits residents and the local economy

The Walking and Cycling Index uses a model to understand the costs and benefits of driving and walking. For example travel time, vehicle operating costs, health benefits, air quality and taxation.

The amount saved for each mile walked or wheeled instead of driven ranges from 19p in Dunfermline, to **£1.37** in Tower Hamlets[[15]](#footnote-16)

Over a year this adds up to **£563.3 million**

from adults with a car in their household walking or wheeling to work, school and other destinations.

The total annual economic benefit from all trips walked and wheeled in Index cities is **£4.8 billion**[[16]](#footnote-17)

### Walking and wheeling unlocks health benefits for everyone

#### Walking in Index cities prevents 17,228 serious long-term health conditions each year

Cases prevented

Hip fracture: **6,790**

Dementia: **5,054**

Depression: **1,914**

Coronary heart disease: **1,853**

Other conditions: **1,617**

‘Other conditions’ includes type 2 diabetes, stroke, breast cancer, colorectal cancer.

Saving the NHS in Index cities **£213.6 million** per year, equivalent to the cost of **5.2 million** GP appointments

These figures are based on applying data from the UK Index cities to Sport England MOVES tool which shows the return on investment for health of sport and physical activity.

In Index cities the physical activity benefits of walking prevent **4,444** early deaths annually, which is valued at **£16.1 billion**[[17]](#footnote-18)

Please note wheelchair or mobility scooter trips are modelled as walking trips for the purposes of the MOVES and HEAT models.

People walking and wheeling more instead of driving improves air quality, saving annually:

**640,000 kg** of NOx

and

**92,000 kg** of particulates (PM10 and PM2.5)

**44%** of residents agree the air is clean in their local area

### Walking and wheeling in Index cities helps mitigate our climate crisis

**260,000 tonnes** of greenhouse gas emissions (carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide) saved annually by walking or wheeling instead of driving, equivalent to the carbon footprint of **320,000** people taking flights from London Heathrow to New York

In 2021 transport accounted for **26%** of the UK’s greenhouse gas emissions, of which the main sources are the use of petrol and diesel in road transport.

Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, 2021 UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Final Figures

### Walking and wheeling keeps Index cities moving

Studies show walking or cycling frees up road space in comparison to driving.[[18]](#footnote-19) This helps to keep Index cities moving for all road users.

**2 million** return walking and wheeling trips are made daily in Index cities by people that could have used a car.

If these cars were all in a traffic jam it would tail back **5,900 miles** equivalent to the distance from Birmingham to Tokyo.

## Benefits of cycling

Why everyone gains when more people cycle

### Index city residents cycle 141 times around the world every day

**362.9 million** cycling trips were made in Index cities in the past year

This adds up to **1.3 billion miles** = **3.5 million miles** a day

#### Annual cycling trips by purpose in Index cities[[19]](#footnote-20)

Work: **123,700**,**000** or **34%**

School, college or university (adults): **32,500**,**000** or **9%**

School (children): **15,600**,**000** or **4%**

Shopping, personal business and social trips: **120,800**,**000** or **33%**

Leisure: **70,300**,**000** or **19%**

### Cycling benefits residents and the local economy

The Walking and Cycling Index uses a model to understand the costs and benefits of driving and cycling. For example travel time, vehicle operating costs, health benefits, air quality and taxation.

The amount saved for each mile cycled instead of driven ranges from **£1.13** in Dunfermline to **£2.31** in Tower Hamlets[[20]](#footnote-21)

Over a year this adds up to **£867.7 million** from adults with a car in their household cycling to work, school and other destinations.

The total annual economic benefit from all trips cycled in Index cities is **£1.3 billion**[[21]](#footnote-22)

### Cycling unlocks health benefits for everyone

#### Cycling in Index cities prevents 4,198 serious long-term health conditions each year

Cases prevented

Hip fracture: **1,394**

Dementia: **1,117**

Depression: **667**

Coronary heart disease: **518**

Other conditions: **502**

‘Other conditions’ includes type 2 diabetes, stroke, breast cancer, colorectal cancer.

Saving the NHS in Index cities **£54.9 million** per year, equivalent to the cost of **1.3 million** GP appointments

These figures are based on applying data from the UK Index cities to Sport England MOVES tool which shows the return on investment for health of sport and physical activity.

In Index cities the physical activity benefits of cycling prevent **471** early deaths annually, which is valued at **£1.7 billion**[[22]](#footnote-23)

People cycling more instead of driving improves air quality, saving annually: **280,000 kg** of NOx

and

**44,000 kg** of particulates (PM10 and PM2.5)

**44%** of residents agree the air is clean in their local area

### Cycling in Index cities helps mitigate our climate crisis

**160,000 tonnes** of greenhouse gas emissions (carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide) saved annually by cycling instead of driving, equivalent to the carbon footprint of **190,000** people taking flights from London Heathrow to New York

In 2021 transport accounted for **26%** of the UK’s greenhouse gas emissions, of which the main sources are the use of petrol and diesel in road transport.

Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, 2021 UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Final Figures

### Cycling keeps Index cities moving

Studies show walking or cycling frees up road space in comparison to driving.[[23]](#footnote-24) This helps to keep Index cities moving for all road users.

**290,000** return cycling trips are made daily in Index cities by people that could have used a car.

If these cars were all in a traffic jam it would tail back **867 miles** equivalent to seven trips around the M25.

## Walking solutions

What would help make walking and wheeling easier?

### Residents want more services and amenities within walking and wheeling distance

Ideally, walking or wheeling should be the most attractive option for short journeys. An area can support this by ensuring many of the things people need are found near to where people live. The environment should be safe, comfortable and welcoming.

20-minute neighbourhoods are places where you can walk from your home to many of the things you need on a regular basis. Based on a 20-minute return journey this is around 480 metres each way for the majority of people.[[24]](#footnote-25)

**64%** of Index city households are in neighbourhoods of more than 40 homes per hectare. These are or can become 20-minute neighbourhoods.

These higher-density neighbourhoods with more people can sustain local businesses and public transport routes. Sustrans, 2017. Linking Active Travel and Public Transport to Housing Growth and Planning.

**55%** agree they can easily get to many places they need to visit without having to drive

#### What percentage of residents would find more local amenities and services useful to help them walk or wheel more?

**80%** More shops and everyday services, such as banks and post offices, close to your home

**75%** More government services, such as doctors surgeries and schools, close to your home

**83%** More parks or green spaces close to your home

**80%** More things to see and do close to your home, like cafés or entertainment venues

#### Proportion of households within a 400m radius of the following amenities

This is modelled as a straight-line distance, as opposed to the actual walking distance based on street layout which is likely to be longer (approximately equivalent to 480m).

Food shop[[25]](#footnote-26) **65%**

Park or space for recreation **92%**

Doctors surgery **34%**

Primary school **57%**

Library[[26]](#footnote-27) **17%**

Post Office **32%**

A mix of cultural and leisure venues[[27]](#footnote-28) **75%**

Railway or other station (within 800m)[[28]](#footnote-29) **37%**

Bus stop **99%**

### Residents want better streets

There are many ways to make our streets and neighbourhoods safe, welcoming and comfortable for everyone to walk or wheel in.

#### What percentage of residents think that these changes would help them walk or wheel more?

**72%** Wider pavements

**72%** More frequent road crossings, with reduced wait times

**78%** Nicer places along streets to stop and rest, like more benches, trees and shelters

**74%** Better pavement accessibility, like level surfaces, dropped kerbs at crossing points

**68%** Fewer cars parked on the pavement

**71%** Less fear of crime or antisocial behaviour in their area

#### In Index cities:

**51%** of A and B roads have a pavement width greater than 3m if unobstructed[[29]](#footnote-30)

**65%** of C and unclassified roads have a pavement width greater than 2m if unobstructed[[30]](#footnote-31)

On all roads making up junctions, **21%** of those with traffic lights for cars have no red and green man for pedestrians[[31]](#footnote-32)

**83%** of residents agree more measures to reduce crime and antisocial behaviour on the street or in public spaces would improve their local area

### Quote from Heewr, Cardiff

After I had an accident and had a problem with my leg, I recognised that walking when I was ill was difficult between places, especially bus stops.

Cardiff has a lot of narrow streets, with a lot of stressed drivers, because people are frustrated at how busy it is in the city centre and other parts of town.

There should be less cars and more opportunities for people to rest while they walk.

To see more trees around different parts of the city would help people want to walk more, too. Without trees and green spaces, places look empty. People feel more relaxed in nature. Anywhere you want to attract people for walking, you should have trees, parks, and green spaces.

## Cycling solutions

What would make cycling better?

### Many Index city residents want to cycle

#### How do residents see themselves when it comes to cycling?

Regularly cycle: **9%**

Occasionally cycle: **18%**

New or returning to cycling: **5%**

Do not cycle but would like to: **28%**

Do not cycle and do not want to: **40%**

#### What proportion of residents said they ‘do not cycle but would like to’?

**29%** of women

**40%** of people from ethnic minority groups

**27%** of disabled people

### Residents want improved cycling infrastructure

#### What percentage of residents would be helped to cycle more by better facilities?

**67%** More traffic‑free cycle paths away from roads, like through parks or along waterways

**63%** More cycle paths along roads that are physically separated from traffic and pedestrians

**63%** More signposted local cycle routes along quieter streets

**65%** Better links with public transport, like secure cycle parking at railway stations

There are **14,752** cycle parking spaces across the **562** railway stations[[32]](#footnote-33) and **144** cycle parking spaces at the **35** bus stations[[33]](#footnote-34) in UK Index cities

#### Index cities have:[[34]](#footnote-35)

**1,555 miles** of traffic‑free cycle paths away from the road

**155 miles** of cycle paths physically separated from traffic and pedestrians[[35]](#footnote-36)

**258 miles** of signposted routes along quieter streets[[36]](#footnote-37)

**20%** of households within 125m of these routes

**58%** of residents support building more cycle paths physically separated from traffic and pedestrians, even when this would mean less room for other road traffic

### Residents want more support to cycle

#### What percentage of residents think that these kinds of support would help them cycle more?

**42%** Cycling training courses and organised social rides

**47%** Access or improvements to a city cycle hire scheme

**53%** Access to secure cycle storage at or near home

**53%** Access to a bicycle

**45%** Access to an electric cycle

**34%** Access to a cargo cycle with space to carry children or shopping

**25%** Access to an adapted cycle, like a tricycle or handcycle

#### Cycle hire schemes in 11 Index cities[[37]](#footnote-38)

**7,211** hire cycles **910** cycle hire stations

**4,028**,**912** annual trips

#### Reported cycle thefts

There were **16,762** reported cycle thefts in Index cities in 2022/23 (**18,576** in 2021/22).

For every **251** people who own an adult cycle in Index cities, there was **1** reported cycle theft in the past year.

There is a public cycle parking space for every **50** people who cycle in Index cities.

#### Proportions of residents with access to an adult cycle

**50%** of residents

**40%** of socio-economic group DE

**59%** of socio-economic group AB

**56%** of residents support financial discounts for people on low incomes or not in employment to help them buy a cycle

**34%** of households are within 800m of a cycle shop[[38]](#footnote-39)

### Quote from Sekou, Glasgow

After seeing loads of people on bikes in Glasgow, I rented a bike through the city hire scheme and gave it a go. It was one of the best decisions I’ve ever made!

I eat and sleep better, my mental health has improved, and I feel more relaxed.

Cycling has become my main mode of transport. It is cost-effective and I love the freedom it gives me.

When it’s too windy or rainy, I walk instead.

It’s been an amazing change in my life, everyone should give it a try!

## Neighbourhood solutions

What would help make neighbourhoods better?

### All residents should feel welcome in their neighbourhood

#### Proportion of residents that feel welcome and comfortable walking, wheeling or spending time on the streets of their neighbourhood

**69%** of residents

**66%** of people from ethnic minority groups

**69%** of white people

**68%** of women

**70%** of men

**53%** of people who identified their gender ‘in another way’

**66%** of LGBTQ+ people

**70%** of non-LGBTQ+ people

**61%** of disabled people

**72%** of non-disabled people

**58%** of socio-economic group DE

**76%** of socio-economic group AB

### The dominance of motor vehicles can discourage walking, wheeling and cycling

Only **29%** of residents think that their streets are not dominated by moving or parked motor vehicles.

#### Residents would find fewer motor vehicles on their streets useful to:

**62%** Walk or wheel more

**59%** Cycle more

Unclassified roads are not designed to carry through-traffic, but in Index cities **24%** of their total length has nothing to prevent it. This can result in rat-running. [[39]](#footnote-40)

**53%** agree that restricting through-traffic on local residential streets would make their area a better place.

**33%** of Index city streets have 20mph speed limits.[[40]](#footnote-41)

#### Residents would find more streets with 20mph speed limits useful to:

**54%** Walk or wheel more

**52%** Cycle more

### Residents want local streets to be better spaces for people to spend time in

**60%** agree increasing space for people socialising, walking, wheeling and cycling on their local high street would improve their local area

**49%** agree they regularly chat to their neighbours, more than just to say hello

**62%** support low‑traffic neighbourhoods

### Quote from André and Emma, Southampton

“We took the premises on in February 2022 and it’s always been for years a road with traffic. It wasn’t a pedestrianised route like we have now. We took the lease on knowing that they were going to properly redevelop, which they have now. It is absolutely perfect.

We just love having it pedestrianised. It’s a lot quieter, like people can really engage, you haven’t got the danger of cars.

It’s really nice and wide, and it’s clean as well, and it’s a good surface for walking on and cycling. The bicycle racks outside the shop are important for the customers as most of them cycle or walk to the shop.”

André recently started cycling to work and really enjoys it, it is better for the environment, it’s more economical and it is healthy, helping him get fitter.

### Neighbourhoods must be designed with children in mind

Neighbourhoods should be places for children to thrive. Increasing independence, providing space to play and socialise, and improving the journey to school are all important.

If we design neighbourhoods with children in mind they will then work better for everyone else too.

12 years old average age when people living with children would let them walk, wheel or cycle independently in their neighbourhood.

**80%** of seven-year-olds in Germany are allowed to go alone to places within walking distance, other than school.[[41]](#footnote-42)

**49%** of residents agree there is space for children to socialise and play

**54%** of households are within 400m of a children’s playground

Among Index city residents:

**50%** agree, while **24%** disagree, closing streets outside local schools to cars during drop-off and pick-up times would improve their local area

These are known as ‘School Streets’.

**141** schools in Index cities have School Streets schemes[[42]](#footnote-43)

## Developing Index cities

Recent walking, wheeling, cycling and neighbourhood changes

Prioritising walking, wheeling and cycling can improve journeys for everyone, especially alongside affordable and convenient public transport. This has an even greater impact for disadvantaged groups, who depend on these modes of transport to access work, education and opportunities.

At the same time making these changes can reduce air and noise pollution, as well as carbon emissions. More people travelling actively also contributes towards improved physical and mental health while increasing access to nature.

Where cities have achieved this, we also see positive improvements for the local economy. Cities designed for people are safer, less congested, and highly competitive, attracting businesses, residents, tourists.

While there is broad consensus on the benefits of sustainable transport, the job at hand is much more challenging. Changing how streets are designed, giving more space and priority to other modes can impact journeys by car. While many people want to walk, cycle and use public transport more, these options may not exist. We need to help people reduce car use, but fairly.

Luckily, we have come a long way since the Walking and Cycling Index was first published, as Bike Life, back in 2015. Local transport authorities have become more ambitious, investment has increased, and the quality of what is delivered is far superior.

We have seen steady increases in many places of 20mph streets, protected on-street cycle paths, improvements to off-road routes, and more low traffic neighbourhoods and school street schemes.

Across our cities one in five households are now within 125 metres of a relatively good cycle route, and one in three streets now have 20mph speed limits. Cycle rental schemes in 11 of our cities had a combined ridership of over 4 million journeys over the year.

We now need to join up these individual schemes, especially cycling and walking infrastructure to create safe, accessible, and attractive networks and neighbourhoods that also integrate with public transport.

Examples of just a few of the many schemes and programmes delivered over the past two years include the completion of protected on-road cycle schemes safe enough for children to use, improvements to cycle storage for disadvantaged residents, social prescription pilots to increase activity, and schemes to improve the integration of public transport with walking, wheeling and cycling.

### Example schemes from Index cities

Map of the UK and Ireland showing the cities participating in the Walking and Cycling Index.

#### Glasgow

The City Network, Liveable Neighbourhoods and Avenues programme are all progressing Glasgow’s vision of a connected, people friendly city.

#### Dundee

In partnership with Cyclehoop, 40 Bikehangar units were delivered across the city to improve residential cycle parking.

#### Edinburgh

An important cycle link was completed connecting Roseburn via the city centre to Leith.

#### Tyneside

A social-prescribing pilot in Gateshead is using walking and cycling to help people manage physical and mental health conditions.

#### Greater Manchester

200,000 residents now live within a 5 minute walk of the Bee Network Cycle Hire scheme.

#### Greater Cambridge

Greater Cambridge Greenways: 12 new walking and cycling routes connecting towns and villages to the city centre is underway.

#### Tower Hamlets

The Chrisp Street Cycle Hub has trained and supported over 1,350 residents to cycle.

#### Southampton City Region

Improvements to Southampton Central Station now allows for easy change between rail, bus, walking, cycling and e-scooters.

#### Bristol

31 cycle hangars were installed next to tower blocks to provide safe secure cycle storage.

#### Cardiff

A 1.8-mile protected flagship route from the city centre to Wales’ largest hospital is now complete.

#### Liverpool City Region

A new green walking and cycling corridor connecting housing developments in Birkenhead is complete.

#### Belfast

The Forth Meadow Community Greenway, a 12km route in north and west Belfast was completed.

## Looking forward

Better streets and places for everyone

At Sustrans we want to help create a society where the way we travel creates healthier places and happier lives for everyone.

These are places which are designed around people’s needs, equitably. These are places that help people live well and healthily while not impacting on the environment now and in the future.

A big part of this is changing how people move around their neighbourhood, town or city and beyond. And to be successful there are several challenges that need to be overcome.

### Improving transport choices for everyone

Across the UK many groups face greater disadvantage than others across their lives including women, people of colour, disabled people, people on a low income and children. This is seen in transport where many people from these groups are less likely to own a car and face economic barriers to travel.

This acts to reduce transport choice and may lead to missed opportunities, jobs and education and greater likelihood of isolation. People shouldn’t need a car to get around: alternatives including public transport, walking, wheeling and cycling should be attractive, safe, affordable and convenient. However cycling is seen as unsafe, walking is inaccessible for many and not prioritised, while bus services have been steadily reducing and trains are expensive. Many cities across Europe as well as London demonstrate this doesn’t need to be the case.

### Reducing the impact of how we get around on the climate and air pollution

While air pollution currently poses the largest environmental risk to public health associated with 28,000-36,000 deaths every year, reducing the impact of climate change is rapidly becoming our greatest challenge.

Electric cars will help, but alone are not sufficient. We need to help people to leave their cars at home for more and more journeys by ensuring attractive alternatives exist. This means listening to what residents have told us time and time again in the Walking and Cycling Index: that they want to walk, wheel and cycle more, and for places to invest more in public transport, walking, wheeling and cycling.

### Increase childhood independence

On average parents and carers would not let their children walk, wheel or cycle independently in their neighbourhood until the age of twelve. This contrasts starkly to other countries, for example in Germany where 7-year-olds are allowed to go to places alone within walking distance.

Neighbourhoods should be places for children to develop and thrive. They should enable increasing independence, space to play and socialise. However perceptions of safety stop parents and carers from enabling their children to do so, whilst costing society from having to escort children for all trips they make.

In the future we must make progress on these challenges. And if we do so we will create streets and places for everyone that are healthy, welcoming, and ultimately better for everyone.

### Quote from James, Birmingham

Every school day, I use the Priory Road and A**38** cycle routes to get to and from school, unless the weather is bad, when I’ll go by bus.

Riding my bike gives me a sense of freedom, because I know when I should leave to get to school on time, and I don’t have to worry about the buses being on time.

The protected cycle lanes give me a safer environment to cycle along than the road, without the risks of cars passing too closely, especially with the designated crossings. On traffic light systems at the big junctions, I would prefer priority for the cycle lane, to encourage more people to cycle.

When I pass the cycle counter on the A38 route, it makes me happy to know lots of other people are cycling to and from work and school instead of taking the car.

Maintenance is almost as important as putting in these new cycle lanes. In the winter, it gets clogged up with lots of leaves which block the drains; then it’ll be really slippery to cycle over, especially on corners, and it’ll be completely flooded.

## Notes on methodology:

The attitudinal survey was conducted from March to June 2023 by independent social research organisation NatCen.

The survey is representative of all Index city residents, not just those who walk, wheel or cycle.

All other data is sourced from our city partners, national data sets or modelled and calculated by Sustrans.

Trip estimates use a model developed by Sustrans. When comparing to other travel surveys, some variation may exist in the proportion of journeys travelled by journey purpose.

Rounding has been used throughout the report. In many cases we have rounded to the nearest whole number. Rounding is avoided where this may cause confusion, for example with modelled estimates shown in the summary and benefits sections.

More information and a detailed methodology are available at [www.sustrans.org.uk/walking-cycling-index](https://www.sustrans.org.uk/walking-cycling-index)
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